

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/799,861

Applicant(s)

DESHMUKH ET AL

Examiner

KIMBERLY LOVEL

Art Unit

2167

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KIMBERLY LOVEL.(3) Sue Halloway.(2) Kuen Lu.(4) Yoori Kim.

Date of Interview: 06 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: 20020175938 (Hackworth) and 6,430,611 (Kita).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The amendments filed on 20 December 2007 were discussed. It was stated that the amendments overcome the prior art rejection in the previous office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kimberly Lovel/

Examiner, Art Unit 2167

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.